Smart Card Security Users Group

Defining Smart Card Security for the New Millennium

Gene Troy, NIST / NIAP
Chair, Smart Card Security Users Group



Background

- The ultimate goal of smart card security is:
 - proven robustness and correct functioning of every single card delivered to the card user
- Chip security and Card life cycle security are the key links in this chain
- Becoming more important in view of -
 - multi-application cards
 - new applications demanding high security such as electronic purse
- Chip and card life cycle security are noncompetitive issues



Credit Cards & Smart Card Security

- Currently, Financial Payment Systems (i.e., credit card brands) individually do smart card evaluations -- Unstandardized, possibly conflicting
 - No global process to systematically compare vendors' security claims
- Vendor's products may be subject to conflicting requirements, repeated and expensive evaluations by different Users
- Vendors are the drivers in identifying product security requirements



SCSUG's Approach

- Smart Card Security Users Group (SCUSG):
 - a global, financially oriented industry group including: American Express, Europay, JCB, MasterCard, Mondex, Visa
- Coordinated by NIAP (US National Information Assurance Partnership)
 - with the participation of other Governmental Bodies involved in the Common Criteria Project (Australia, Canada, France, Germany, UK)
- ◆ Looking to the Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation (ISO/IEC International Standard 15408) for security solutions



Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation



- **◆ ISO 15408 Common Criteria for Information**Technology Security Evaluation (the "CC")
- Currently endorsed by Australia, Canada, France, Germany, the Netherlands, New Zealand, United Kingdom, United States; others in Europe & Asia in process of joining
- A process for evaluating security of IT products
- Desperately needed for smart cards



What IS the Common Criteria??

What the Common Criteria is --

- Common structure & language for expressing product/system IT security requirements (Part 1)
- Catalogs of standardized IT security requirement components & packages (Parts 2 & 3)

How the CC is used ---

- Develop Protection Profiles and Security Targets -specific IT security requirements for products &
 systems -- Consumers then use them for decisions
- Evaluate products & systems against known & understood requirements CONFIDENCE



Common Criteria Concepts (1)

The CC defines two types of IT Security Requirements:

Functional Requirements

- for defining security behavior of the IT product or system:
- implemented requirements become security functions

Assurance Requirements

- for establishing confidence in Security Functions:
- correctness of implementation
- effectiveness in satisfying objectives



(what a product does)

(is the product built well & does it meet its purpose)

CC Concepts (2) Evaluation Assurance Levels

(Basis for Mutual Recognition)

EAL	Name	OB/IT*
EAL1	Functionally Tested	
EAL2	Structurally Tested	C1 - E1
EAL3	Methodically Tested & Checked	C2 - E2
EAL4	Methodically Designed, Tested & Reviewed	E3
EAL5	Semiformally Designed & Tested	B2- E4
EAL6	Semiformally Verified Design & Tested	B3 - E5
EAL7	Formally Verified Design & Tested	A1 -E6



CC Concepts (3) The PP & ST

- Protection Profile -
 - Statement of User's Security Requirements
 - Standard format, catalog of concepts & requirements
 - Implementation <u>independent</u>
- Security Target
 - Vendor creates to show how their product meets requirements
 - Implementation <u>specific</u> (= product)



SCSUG's goals re CC

- Specify "Protection Profiles" for chip and operating system security based on the Common Criteria (ISO 15408) -- application independent
- Provide financial industry chip/card testing expertise to national schemes to aid rigorous industry-acceptable lab accreditation and testing standards.
- Use accredited Common Criteria Labs for the evaluation of vendor products against the defined profiles
- Agree a minimum set of product evaluation guidelines that produce reusable results



SCSUG's CC-based Protection Profile

- SC-PP covers basic application-independent platform, including chip & operating software:
 - single or multiple applications supported
 - fixed or the new reconfigurable technologies
- Evolved from earlier work by each payment system, others
- ♦ NOTE: SC-PP's card security specs <u>not limited to financial applications</u>; threats/requirements generally applicable to "sensitive applications"



Threats Addressed by SC-PP

- Physical attacks
 - e.g. probing, manipulation, modification
- Logical attacks
 - e.g. bad data, illegal program loading
- Access control
 - e.g. invalid access, impersonation
- Unanticipated Interactions
 - e.g. unallowed functions



More Threats Covered by SC-PP

- Cryptographic attacks
- Information monitoring
 - e.g. info "leakage"
- Miscellaneous
 - e.g. environmental stress, repetitive or linked attacks
- **◆ TOTAL THREATS: 23, all usage-oriented**



scsug A Few of the 43 CC Functional Requirements in SC-PP

FAU_ARP.1 Security alarms

FAU_LST.1 Audit list generation

FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation

FCS_CKM.3 Cryptographic key access

FCS_COP.1 Cryptographic operation

FDP_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control

FDP_IFC.1 Information flow control

FDP_RIP.1 Residual information protection

FDP_UIT.1 Data exchange integrity



A Few More SC-PP Security Functions

FIA_AFL.1 Authentication failure handling

FIA_UAU.7 Protected authentication feedback

FMT_MOF.1 Management of security functions behavior

FPT_FLS.1 Failure with preservation of secure state

FPT_PHP.3 Resistance to physical attack

FPT_RCV.3 Automated recovery without undue loss

FPT_RPL.1 Replay detection

FPT_RVM.1 Non-bypassability of the Security Policy

FTP_ITC.1 Inter-function trusted channel



SC-PP Key Points

- Developed by a USER COMMUNITY (credit card brands / payment systems) to express their security requirements
- Intended as a communications tool with chip/card vendors and others
- Also intended as a basis for CC security evaluation to meet these users' needs
- ◆ Assurance level: EAL4+
 - Adds Design Modularity & stronger Vulnerability Analysis



SC - Protection Profile Current Status

- Public draft was posted for 3-month comment period until January 31
- PP now completely revised to Version 2.0, ready for CC Lab evaluation, will be internationally registered when complete
- ◆ To become a NIST Recommendation

NOTE:

SC-PP also called out as security basis for GSA's Smart Access Common ID Card RFP (see Section J.7, Required Standards)



Other SCSUG Activities

- Helping National Evaluation Schemes:
 - CC Evaluation Lab accreditation criteria (qualifications, equipment, procedures)
 - Evaluation methods to be used by labs
- Working with Semiconductor & Card vendors (e.g., SSVG)
 - to achieve agreement on common requirements & evaluation approaches



For More Information: http://csrc.nist.gov/cc/sc/sclist.htm

- ♦ American Express Mark Merkow mark.merkow@aexp.com
- EuropayMarijke de Soetemds@europay.com
- ◆ JCB

 Masanori Maeda

 maeda@cp.jcb.co.jp
- MasterCard Terry Stanley Terry_Stanley@mastercard.com

- ♦ Mondex Ken Warren ken.warren@mondex.com
- NIAP
 Gene Troy
 eugene.troy@nist.gov
- Visa Ken Ayer kayer@visa.com



Copies of Slides available at: http://csrc.nist.gov/cc -- smart cards

